

ALASKA PUBLIC OFFICES COMMISSION  
MINUTES  
COMMISSION HEARING  
SEPTEMBER 6, 2012

A hearing of the Alaska Public Offices Commission came to order at 9:00 a.m., on Thursday, September 6, 2012. The hearing was held at the Anchorage APOC office at 2221 E. Northern Lights Blvd., Room 128, Anchorage, Alaska.

**In Attendance:**

**Commission:** Kathleen King - Chair  
Kenneth Kirk - Commissioner  
Carol Brenckle - Commissioner (Telephonically)

**Staff:** Paul Dauphinais - Executive Director  
Jerry Anderson -Assistant Director  
Joan Mize - Project Coordinator II Juneau Office (Telephonically)  
Vullnet Greva - Associate Attorney II  
Heather Hebdon – Associate Attorney II  
Amanda McDonald - Paralegal I  
Maria Bulfa - Law Office Assistant II

**Attendees:** Assistant Attorney General, Dept. of Law

- John Ptacin
- William Milks - Telephonically

12-09-POFD, Joel Natwick v. George Jacko

- Chuck Dunnagan - Complainant Counsel, Jermain Dunnagan & Owens, P.C
- Jim M. Boardman - Respondent Counsel, Ingaldson, Fitzgerald P.C
- Gayle Savage - Ingaldson, Fitzgerald P.C

Public and Media Reporter

- KFQD - Staff, Telephonically
- Kate Conley – Lake and Pen Borough Clerk

**ON RECORD 9:00 a.m.**

Chair King called the hearing to order to hear arguments on whether complaint 12-09-POFD should be handled on an expedited basis under AS 15.13.380(c).

The complaint filed against Mr. George Jacko alleged multiple violations of the Alaska Public Official Financial Disclosure Law, AS 39.50 and the Alaska Campaign Disclosure Law, AS 15.13.

The following individuals appeared in person and presented their statement and oral arguments on the expedited consideration request.

- Chuck Dunnagan - Complainant Counsel, Jermain Dunnagan & Owens, P.C
- Jim M. Boardman - Respondent Counsel, Ingaldson, Fitzgerald P.C
- John Ptacin - Assistant Attorney General, Dept. of Law

During the discussion, the Commission decided to handle separately two portions of the complaint. The first part portion was identified as Roman numeral sections V and VI. This portion alleged that the respondent failed to adequately disclose both sources and amounts of income and debt that are required under AS 39.50 and AS 15.13. The second portion identified was sections VII, VIII, IX, and X of the complaint. After hearing the arguments from both parties, Counsel for the complainant asked that the Commission grant the expedited consideration on sections V and VI of the complaint.

**Commissioner Kirk moved to approve expedited consideration on sections V and VI of the complaint.**

**Commissioner Sanders seconded the motion.**

**The Commission voted 3-0 to approve sections V and VI for expedited consideration.**

The Commission will consider the sections V and VI of the complaint on an expedited hearing basis on September 13<sup>th</sup>.

**Commissioner Kirk moved to accept sections VII, VIII, IX and X of the complaint on a regular investigation basis.**

**Commissioner Sanders seconded the motion.**

**The Commission voted 3-0 to accept the referenced sections on a regular investigation basis.**

**Commissioner Kirk moved to waive the 2 day statutory expedited hearing requirement under AS 15.13.380(d) based upon an oral stipulation by Counsels for the Complainant and Respondent and to set the expedited hearing for September 13, 2012 during regular Commission meeting.**

**Commissioner Vance seconded the motion.**

**The Commission voted 3-0 to approve waiver and set the expedited hearing for September 13, 2012.**

**Commissioner Vance moved to adjourn.**

**Commissioner Kirk seconded the motion.**

**The Commission adjourned.**

**OFF RECORD 10:05 a.m.**