

Demonstration Score Sheets

Demonstration Rating Sheet

OVERVIEW

The purpose of this demonstration is to view an installed and fully operational system to determine if the vendor has performed "similar" functions in the past. Vendors will be asked to demonstrate basic system functionality. The State may also ask the clients demonstrating the system for their overall satisfaction of the product/system/support. The demonstrations should be rated comparatively to one another. It is each vendor's responsibility to clearly differentiate themselves from one another. If the products/systems (being demonstrated) do not clearly differentiate themselves from one another, the evaluator should give all of the vendors the same score. The evaluator may give all vendors the same score if there is no dominant differential. Each Vendor should be rated on a scale of 1-5-10

- A. A "10" represents that the product/system demoed provides significantly higher value than what was expected (clearly shows differential, clearly shows that the vendor has expertise doing this type of work).
- B. A "5" represents that the product/system demoed is about average (or there is insufficient information to make a clear decision)
- C. A "1" represents that the product/system demoed is significantly below expectations (shows deficiency, or provides no evidence to prove expertise doing this type of work)

Firm	Rating	Comments/Concerns <i>(The evaluator must briefly describe/explain reasons for any "1" or "10" rating for debriefing purposes. The evaluator may attach additional pages if necessary)</i>
CedarCrestone	10 (5) 1	
CIBER	10 (5) 1	
CGI	(10) 5 1	Expertise + clarity of presentors, understandability of product, comprehensiveness of system.

By signing your name below, you confirm that you have based your scores on the contents of each demonstration. You further agree that there is no collusion or conflict of interest between yourself and any other party involved.

Cheryl Shakespeare
Printed Name

Cheryl Shakespeare
Signature

11/5/10
Date

SAW

Demonstration Rating Sheet

OVERVIEW

The purpose of this demonstration is to view an installed and fully operational system to determine if the vendor has performed "similar" functions in the past. Vendors will be asked to demonstrate basic system functionality. The State may also ask the clients demonstrating the system for their overall satisfaction of the product/system/support. The demonstrations should be rated comparatively to one another. It is each vendor's responsibility to clearly differentiate themselves from one another. If the products/systems (being demonstrated) do not clearly differentiate themselves from one another, the evaluator should give all of the vendors the same score. The evaluator may give all vendors the same score if there is no dominant differential. Each Vendor should be rated on a scale of 1-5-10

- A. A "10" represents that the product/system demoed provides significantly higher value than what was expected (clearly shows differential, clearly shows that the vendor has expertise doing this type of work).
- B. A "5" represents that the product/system demoed is about average (or there is insufficient information to make a clear decision)
- C. A "1" represents that the product/system demoed is significantly below expectations (shows deficiency, or provides no evidence to prove expertise doing this type of work)

Firm	Rating	Comments/Concerns <i>(The evaluator must briefly describe/explain reasons for any "1" or "10" rating for debriefing purposes. The evaluator may attach additional pages if necessary)</i>
CedarCrestone	10 5 1	5
CIBER	10 5 1	1 Was not a mature installation - not all modules have been implemented at all or fully. Several instances where demo person did not know answers. Very small client operation insid compared to other 2 vendors
CGI	10 5 1	5

By signing your name below, you confirm that you have based your scores on the contents of each demonstration. You further agree that there is no collusion or conflict of interest between yourself and any other party involved.

Daniel R. Spencer Daniel R. Spencer

Printed Name

Signature

11-5-10

Date

5/11/10 ✓

Demonstration Rating Sheet

OVERVIEW

The purpose of this demonstration is to view an installed and fully operational system to determine if the vendor has performed "similar" functions in the past. Vendors will be asked to demonstrate basic system functionality. The State may also ask the clients demonstrating the system for their overall satisfaction of the product/system/support. The demonstrations should be rated comparatively to one another. It is each vendor's responsibility to clearly differentiate themselves from one another. If the products/systems (being demonstrated) do not clearly differentiate themselves from one another, the evaluator should give all of the vendors the same score. The evaluator may give all vendors the same score if there is no dominant differential. Each Vendor should be rated on a scale of 1-5-10

- A. A "10" represents that the product/system demoed provides significantly higher value than what was expected (clearly shows differential, clearly shows that the vendor has expertise doing this type of work).
- B. A "5" represents that the product/system demoed is about average (or there is insufficient information to make a clear decision)
- C. A "1" represents that the product/system demoed is significantly below expectations (shows deficiency, or provides no evidence to prove expertise doing this type of work)

Firm	Rating	Comments/Concerns <i>(The evaluator must briefly describe/explain reasons for any "1" or "10" rating for debriefing purposes. The evaluator may attach additional pages if necessary)</i>
CedarCrestone	10 (5) 1	
CIBER	10 (5) 1	
CGI	10 (5) 1	

By signing your name below, you confirm that you have based your scores on the contents of each demonstration. You further agree that there is no collusion or conflict of interest between yourself and any other party involved.

Marlys Hagen Marlys Hagen 11/5/10
 Printed Name Signature Date

Marlys Hagen

Demonstration Rating Sheet

OVERVIEW

The purpose of this demonstration is to view an installed and fully operational system to determine if the vendor has performed "similar" functions in the past. Vendors will be asked to demonstrate basic system functionality. The State may also ask the clients demonstrating the system for their overall satisfaction of the product/system/support. The demonstrations should be rated comparatively to one another. It is each vendor's responsibility to clearly differentiate themselves from one another. If the products/systems (being demonstrated) do not clearly differentiate themselves from one another, the evaluator should give all of the vendors the same score. The evaluator may give all vendors the same score if there is no dominant differential. Each Vendor should be rated on a scale of 1-5-10

- A. A "10" represents that the product/system demoed provides significantly higher value than what was expected (clearly shows differential, clearly shows that the vendor has expertise doing this type of work).
- B. A "5" represents that the product/system demoed is about average (or there is insufficient information to make a clear decision)
- C. A "1" represents that the product/system demoed is significantly below expectations (shows deficiency, or provides no evidence to prove expertise doing this type of work)

Firm	Rating	Comments/Concerns <i>(The evaluator must briefly describe/explain reasons for any "1" or "10" rating for debriefing purposes. The evaluator may attach additional pages if necessary)</i>
CedarCrestone	10 5 1	
CIBER	10 5 1	
CGI	10 5 1	- more user friendly product; was a fully installed & fully operational system. Has a lot of similar functions the sot would be looking for. They have the same look & feel for financial, ewc, & the functions.

By signing your name below, you confirm that you have based your scores on the contents of each demonstration. You further agree that there is no collusion or conflict of interest between yourself and any other party involved.

Stacie Bentley
Printed Name

Stacie Bentley
Signature

11/5/10
Date

CGA ✓

Demonstration Rating Sheet

OVERVIEW

The purpose of this demonstration is to view an installed and fully operational system to determine if the vendor has performed "similar" functions in the past. Vendors will be asked to demonstrate basic system functionality. The State may also ask the clients demonstrating the system for their overall satisfaction of the product/system/support. The demonstrations should be rated comparatively to one another. It is each vendor's responsibility to clearly differentiate themselves from one another. If the products/systems (being demonstrated) do not clearly differentiate themselves from one another, the evaluator should give all of the vendors the same score. The evaluator may give all vendors the same score if there is no dominant differential. Each Vendor should be rated on a scale of 1-5-10

- A. A "10" represents that the product/system demoed provides significantly higher value than what was expected (clearly shows differential, clearly shows that the vendor has expertise doing this type of work).
- B. A "5" represents that the product/system demoed is about average (or there is insufficient information to make a clear decision)
- C. A "1" represents that the product/system demoed is significantly below expectations (shows deficiency, or provides no evidence to prove expertise doing this type of work)

Firm	Rating	Comments/Concerns <i>(The evaluator must briefly describe/explain reasons for any "1" or "10" rating for debriefing purposes. The evaluator may attach additional pages if necessary)</i>
CedarCrestone	10 5 1	
CIBER	10 5 1	
CGI	10 5 1	WELL ORGANIZED, SCREENS VERY INTUITIVE, FLEXIBLE MORE SEARCHABLE, APPROVALS ACROSS AGENCIES HIGH USER ACCEPTANCE

By signing your name below, you confirm that you have based your scores on the contents of each demonstration. You further agree that there is no collusion or conflict of interest between yourself and any other party involved.

Tom Mayer
Printed Name

JL
Signature

11/5/10
Date

SPAY